

LLOYD'S

SCHEDULE C

Should any of the information called for in this report not be available, the reason for the omission should be stated



Report No. 007/75

STANDARD FORM OF
SURVEY REPORT (GOODS)
 for use by LLOYD'S AGENTS and SUB-AGENTS only

This report is issued for use in connection with the claim against the parties responsible, but does not imply that the loss is recoverable from Underwriters. This must depend upon the terms of the Policy of Insurance.

1.—(a) Name of Consignee of goods specified in annexed Schedule	(a) MR. SERGIO CAMARGO
(b) Name of Applicant for survey	(b) Do
(c) If goods transhipped, name(s) of original carrying vessel(s) and of transhipment port(s)	(c) No transhipment
(d) Name of vessel from which goods discharged ...	(d) M/S "VOLTA REDONDA"
(e) Port at which goods discharged	(e) Rio de Janeiro
(f) Date of arrival of vessel at port of discharge	(f) December 7, 1974
(g) If landed by lighter, date of discharge into lighters	(g) No lighterage
(h) Date goods landed at port of discharge	(h) December 12, 1974
(i) State reason for delay, if any, in lighter	(i) —
2.—(a) Was a clean receipt given to vessel on discharge?	(a) No receipt was presented to surveyor
(b) If not, then state exceptions noted on receipt ...	(b) —
(c) Was a clean receipt given to lighter on landing?	(c) No lighterage
(d) If not, then state exceptions noted on receipt ...	(d) —
3.—(a) Date of receipt of goods by Customs	(a) December 10, 1974
(b) Condition of goods at that time—state exceptions noted by Customs	(b) 4 cases marked MAM, as damaged
(c) Date of delivery of goods from Customs	(c) January 14, 1975
(d) Condition of goods at that time—state exceptions noted on receipt	(d) No receipt was presented to surveyor
4.—(a) Date of delivery to place where survey held ...	(a) January 14, 1975
(b) Delay, if any; in taking delivery stated by Consignee to be due to	(b) No delaying
5.—(a) External condition of packages when delivered to place where survey held, as reported by Consignee	(a) Not informed
(b) Was a clean receipt given at the time of delivery? If not, state exceptions noted on receipt	(b) No receipt was presented to surveyor
(c) External condition of packages at time of survey	(c) Cases nos 1, 3, 7 and 10, wooden brok
6.—Date of application for survey	January 14, 1975
7.—(a) Delay, if any, in applying for survey due to ...	(a) No delaying
(b) If survey not held in Consignee's premises, state Consignee's reason for not taking delivery	(b) Survey held in consignee's premises
8.—Date and place of survey (State, for example, if in Customs, Custom House warehouse, Consignee's warehouse or on quay. If not at port of discharge, state name of place where surveyed.)	January 14, 1975 - Museu de Arte Moderna.
9.—(a) Nature of exterior and interior packing (Describe in detail)	(a) Nailed wooden box, internally covered with straw.
(b) New or second-hand?	(b) Second-hand
(c) Whether customary?	(c) Yes
10.—(a) Description of loss/damage	(a) See schedule
(b) Cause, after examination, attributed by the Surveyor to (The Surveyor should state, if possible, the circumstances in which loss/damage above referred to occurred.)	(b) Rough handling
9.—(a)	

11.—Is Lloyd's Agent aware of any casualty suffered by the carrying vessel to which the loss/damage found might be attributable? If so, brief details should be given	No
12.—Has the shipping invoice been inspected? ...	No
13.—On the date of compromise or sale, the arrived sound market value of the damaged goods amounted to (State whether Duty Paid or in Bond.)	---
14.—In the interest of all parties concerned, the damage has been assessed by way of compromise, and an allowance on arrived sound market value has been agreed amounting to	Not applicable
15.—No compromise being agreed with Consignee, the damaged goods were with our approval sold by public sale or private tender for account of Consignee. The proceeds, as per attached account sales, amounted to (If for any reason the proceeds have not been taken over by the Consignee, Lloyd's Agent should explain why.)	---
16.—Duties payable on the goods in sound state are ...	---
17.—In view of loss or damage has Consignee applied for a rebate of duty? If so, with what result?	---
18.—(a) Has original/copy bill of lading been inspected? (Delete whichever does not apply.) (b) What is the reference therein to the condition of the goods?	(a) Copy inspected (b) No reference to the condition of the goods.
19.—(a) Has Consignee given notice of loss/damage to or made claim against Steamship, Railway, other Carriers or Bailees? (b) If not, what does Consignee give as reason? ... If notice given or claim made state: (c) Date on which Consignee states goods delivered into his custody (d) Date on which Consignee gave notice of loss/damage or made claim and to whom addressed (e) Summary of reply, if any, received ... (Correspondence with Carriers/Bailees to be attached if available.) (f) Was a survey by Carriers/Bailees and Consignee held? If so, on what date and where?	(a) No (b) Not informed (c) January 14, 1975 (d) January 14, 1975, to Lloyd's Agents (e) --- (f) No
20.—Sterling rate of exchange on date of sale or agreement as to loss was	---
21.—Name of Surveyor appointed by Lloyd's Agent ... (If Surveyor is a member of Lloyd's Agent's staff, this fact should be mentioned.)	SUMARÉ PROCESSAMENTO E SERVIÇOS S/A

22.—Further remarks:

NOTE: If there has been any delay in holding survey or in issuing report the reasons must be stated.

SUMARÉ PROCESSAMENTO E SERVIÇOS S/A

Signature of Surveyor.

Certified correct and approved, and issued without prejudice and subject to the terms, conditions and amount of the Policy of Insurance.

(place) **Rio de Janeiro** (date) **24th January,** 19 **75**

The following fees have been paid by Consignee:—

Agency Fee	Cr\$ 120,00
Surveyor's Fee	Cr\$ 425,25
Agency Expenses	Cr\$ 45,75
Adm. Charge	Cr\$ 9,00
Total	Cr\$ 600,00



Signature of LLOYD'S AGENT(S).

COM. IRMÃO (Com. e Rep.) Ltda.

SCHEDULE

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assured to separate the damaged packages from the sound.
In case of shortage, Lloyd's Agents should state if possible, in addition to the following details, the invoiced and landed weights of the goods, also weight at time of survey.

Marks and Numbers	No. of Packages	Description of Goods	Quantities Sound	Quantities Missing or Damaged
<u>RESULT OF OUR SURVEY: Marble Sculpture</u>				
<p><u>Case n° 1</u> - Catalog piece n° 403 - one vertex with small chipping; - Catalog piece n° 413 - one modulus with small chipping.</p>				
<p><u>Case n° 5</u> - Catalog piece n° 425 - right side with a small chipping.</p>				
<p><u>Case n° 6</u> - Catalog piece n° 418 - base slightly chipped and one section unglued.</p>				
<p><u>Case n° 7</u> - Catalog piece n° 405 - base cracked, causing the separation of four modulus of the set (see photos enclosed).</p>				
<p><u>Observations:</u> It's the surveyor opinion, that the damages did not happen during transportation Mexico/Brasil, as the chipping show signs of aging. Also the internal protection, give no condition of shocking between the pieces and the walls of the case.</p> <p>However, this can only be confirmed if the insurers or shippers had provide an inspection report before shipment. Otherwise, there is no way of proof.</p> <p>As to the piece n° 405, the consignee is claiming total loss, and as per list of price enclosed this piece is valued for US\$10,000,00.</p>				
<p><u>N.B.:</u> The above cited observation refers only to the pieces n°s 403, 413, 425 and 418.</p>				

Marks & Numbers	No of Packages	Description of Goods	Q. Sound	Q. M. or D.
M.A.M. 1/11	11	Exposition Material	--	4 cases

Instituto de arte contemporânea

1111 00